Publication date: 15/12/2023

Notice of and reasons for the Final Determination

The NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee, established under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (the Act), has made a Final Determination to list the Small-leaved Currant Bush, *Coprosma inopinata*, I.Hutton & P.S.Green as a CRITICALLY ENDANGERED SPECIES in Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Act and, as a consequence, to omit reference to the shrub *Coprosma inopinata* I.Hutton & P.S.Green as ENDANGERED from Part 2 of Schedule 1 (Endangered species) of the Act. Listing of Critically Endangered species is provided for by Part 4 of the Act.

Summary of Conservation Assessment

The Small-leaved Currant Bush, *Coprosma inopinata*, I.Hutton & P.S.Green (Rubiaceae) was found to be Critically Endangered in accordance with the following provisions in the *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017*: Clause 4.3 (a)(d)(e, iii).

The main reasons for this listing are; i) It has a very highly restricted geographic range (AOO/EOO is 8 km²); ii) It is found at only a single location, scattered across a small area of ridgeline and cliffs in the Southern Mountains region of Lord Howe Island; iii) The total number of mature individuals is extremely low (<250); and, iv) There is inferred continuing decline in habitat quality as a consequence of increased aridity driven by climate change.

The NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee has found that:

- 1. Coprosma inopinata (Small-leafed Current Bush) (family Rubiaceae) is a medium sized (<1 m high), cliff dwelling shrub first described by Hutton and Green in 1993, and there have been no subsequent revisions (Green, 1993). (Green, 1994) described Coprosma inopinata as a 'Compact, scrambling, prostrate shrub with shoots to 0-5 m tall, dioecious, young stems minutely puberulous. Leaves opposite, somewhat congested, coriaceous, mid-green above, whitish-green below, broadly lanceolate to elliptic, 7-13 mm long, 3-6 mm broad, base rounded-obtuse, apex acute, sometimes very slightly acuminate, tip more or less blunt, margins entire, slightly thickened and sometimes somewhat recurved, venation reticulate below, with 4-5 not strongly differentiated primary veins on each side of the midrib, obscure above, without domatia; petioles about 1 mm long, stipules 3-5 mm long, broadly triangular, with a prominent, acute and usually persistent, apiculus 2-3 mm long, minutely puberulous on the back and margins. Flowers axillary, usually solitary, pedicels 1 mm long, subtended by 4 irregular, erose, acute lobes to 0 -5 mm with purple margins, valvate in bud, in with a narrow tube 2 mm long. Stamens exserted. Style bifid, exserted, stigmatic lobes long, orange when ripe, crowned by the persistent long, 2 mm broad, one side flattened.'
- 2. Coprosma inopinata is endemic to subtropical Lord Howe Island (NSW Government Office of Environment and Heritage, 2017). The species is restricted to south-easterly and south-westerly facing cliffs and ridgelines in the Southern

Mountains region of the island (encompassing Mount Lidgbird and Mount Gower, occurring either as small patches or isolated plants above 400 m elevation (NSW Government Office of Environment and Heritage, 2016; NSW Government Office of Environment and Heritage, 2017; NSW Government Saving our Species, 2021b). The Mount Lidgbird and Mount Gower sites are approximately 2 km apart (C. Stehn pers. comm. 2022).

- 3. Coprosma inopinata has a highly restricted range, with both an Extent of Occurrence (EOO) and Area of Occupancy (AOO) of only 8 km². It occurs at only one threat-defined location, restricted to small lengths of narrow ridgeline on Mount Gower and Mount Lidgbird (NSW Government Office of Environment and Heritage 2017). The entire known population of *C. inopinata* occurs in an area that can be contained within two 2 km x 2 km grid squares the scale recommended for assessing area of occupancy by IUCN (2022). When EOO is less than or equal to AOO IUCN (2022) recommend EOO estimates be changed to be equal to AOO to ensure consistency with the definition of AOO as an area that fits within EOO.
- 4. The total mature population is estimated to be between 108-183 plants. There was a decline of four mature and six juvenile individuals from 2018/19 2020/21 driven by acute drought over this period (NSW Government Saving our Species, 2019, 2021b). Surveys were conducted for *Coprosma inopinata* prior to 2018/19, however these were primarily intended to expand known sites for *C. inopinata*, and did not provide estimates of total population, or allow estimation of population trends.
- 5. Coprosma inopinata is restricted to exposed cliffs and ridges above 400 m elevation (NSW Government Office of Environment and Heritage, 2017). They grow on sunny, exposed rock, without any canopy cover from tall trees (Hutton, 2001, 2005). Coprosma inopinata shares general habitat and features of ecology with three other threatened plants species, Geniostoma huttonii, Xylosma parvifolium and Carmichaelia exsul, along with other, more common species (Hutton, 2001, 2005).
- 6. Coprosma inopinata is dioecious, typically flowering between October and November and fruiting from March to September (T. Auld pers. comm. 2021). All studied Coprosma species are anemophilous (wind pollinated), and this is almost certainly true of *C. inopinata* (Merrett and Robertson, 2012; Wardle, 1971). Coprosma inopinata is likely to be bird dispersed. There is no formal data around longevity, maturity, or senescence in *C. inopinata*, however observations in the field suggest it may be long lived, from 10-50+ years (T. Auld pers. comm. 2021, C. Stehn pers. comm. 2022).
- 7. During two decades of monitoring, *Coprosma inopinata* has not been observed to colonise adjacent habitat and appears to struggle to compete with other endemic species and invasive species (C. Stehn pers. comm. 2022).
- 8. The main threats to *Coprosma inopinata* are increasing hydrological deficit and habitat loss as a result of climate change, pathogen infection, and competition with introduced and native plant species. 'Anthropogenic Climate Change', 'Infection of Native Plants by *Phytophthora cinnamomi*', and 'Loss and degradation of native

- plant and animal habitat by invasion of escaped garden plants, including aquatic plants' are listed as Key Threatening Processes under the Act.
- 9. Ongoing climate change on the Lord Howe Island Group poses a direct threat to *Coprosma inopinata*. There has been an increase in temperature and a decrease in overall rainfall on Lord Howe Island over the last 50 years, with Auld and Leishman (2015) finding that: "...sea level temperatures around Lord Howe Island have risen by some 0.6°C since 1940... average annual air temperature on Lord Howe Island is expected to rise (compared with 1990 levels) by 1.3 ± 0.6°C by 2030, although there is much uncertainty around such estimates...For annual rainfall, we found over the last 50 years there had been a decline of 31% (95% CL 4–79%)...Both minimum and maximum temperatures at sea level increased in the last 50 years..."
- 10. Plant species on Lord Howe Island depend on consistent and ongoing formation of cloud to provide the high humidity and moisture on which they depend (Auld & Leishman, 2015). Cloud forests serve as a 'bucket', absorbing rain and atmospheric moisture at the summit which then flows down, providing available moisture for plant communities further down the slope (Foster, 2001). Increase in sea temperatures is likely to increase the altitude at which clouds form, increasing aridity at lower altitudes and pushing dependent species further towards the summit, dubbed the 'lift-cloud-base hypothesis' (Auld and Leishman, 2015). Loss of cloud poses a direct threat to species that live in cloud forest at the summit of Mount Gower and Mount Lidgbird, as well as species such as *C. inopinata* that are found below the cloud line and receive moisture created by cloud formation at the summit. Ongoing increase in sea temperature, and associated loss of cloud and increasing hydrological deficit is therefore likely to reduce the quality and availability of habitat for *C. inopinata* in the future.
- 11. Mortality of *Coprosma inopinata* between 2018 and 2021 as a result of drought (discussed below) demonstrates that *C. inopinata* is negatively affected by reduced rainfall and moisture availability (NSW Government Office of Environment and Heritage, 2016). Acute drought in 2018/2019 severely impacted multiple plant species and communities on Lord Howe Island, including *Coprosma inopinata*, and demonstrated that drought is a severe and ongoing threat (*International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, 2020; NSW Government Saving our Species, 2021b*). Drought was responsible for the loss of 10 individuals between 2018 and 2021, 5% of the total estimated population (NSW Government Saving our Species, 2021b). Juvenile and mature plants were affected, suggesting aridity and drought pose a threat not just to recruitment and establishment of comparatively vulnerable young plants, but also to established individuals. Droughts across the Australian region are becoming more severe as background climate becomes more arid (Abram et al., 2021), and this is likely to increase the threat drought poses to endemic Lord Howe Island species, including *C. inopinata*.
- 12. Coprosma inopinata is confined to cliffs and ridges at relatively high elevations (~400-600 m), above which habitat is generally unsuitable, largely opening into plateaux dominated by dense vegetation, especially Gnarled Mossy Cloud Forest (C. Stehn pers. comm. 2022). The general lack of adjacent exposed cliffs and

ridgelines at higher elevations means that there is limited accessible habitat into which *C. inopinata* can move as increasing aridity makes their current habitat less suitable (C. Stehn pers. comm. 2022). As a poor competitor, *C. inopinata* is likely to struggle to colonise new habitat that may become available as aridity forces resident plant communities to higher elevations. Even if resident plant communities are pushed from habitat potentially suitable for *C. inopinata*, components of these communities, like established trees or generalist weed species, are likely to persist and present an ongoing barrier to colonisation.

- 13. Climate change may increase the likelihood and severity of sub-tropical storms on and around Lord Howe Island, posing an additional severe threat to plant communities towards the peaks of Mount Gower and Lidgbird (Auld and Leishman 2015). These storms may damage *Coprosma inopinata* directly or increase the likelihood of land-slips.
- 14. Phytophthora cinnamomi is an introduced water mould (oomycete) pathogen that causes catastrophic dieback in many species, and is listed as the Key Threatening Process 'Infection of native plants by Phytophthora cinnamomi in the Act. Phytophthora cinnamomi affects a huge variety of plants across families, including the closely related New Zealand Coprosma australis, and so is likely to pose a considerable threat to Coprosma inopinata (Auld and Hutton, 2004; Government of South Australia Phytophthora Technical Group, 2006; NSW Department of Environment and Climate Change, 2008). Phytophthora cinnamomi was detected in a small orchard on Lord Howe Island in 2003 and is now considered a resident threat (Auld and Hutton, 2004). It has so far been contained, however movement of residents and tourists across the island risk its spread in the future.
- 15. Invasive weeds pose a severe and ongoing threat to Coprosma inopinata (Lord Howe Island Board, 2016; NSW Government Saving our Species, 2021a). Weeds encroach on the habitat of *C. inopinata*, outcompeting existing plants for resources and space and inhibiting recruitment by reducing the likelihood *C. inopinata* seeds will find sufficient space and resources to germinate (Lord Howe Island Board, 2016; T. Auld pers. comm. 2022). Of particular concern are Ageratina adenophora (Crofton Weed), Lilium formosanum (Formosan Lily), Cenchrus clandestinus (Kikuyu Grass), Asparagus aethiopicus (Ground Asparagus), and Psidium cattleyanum var. cattleyanum (Cherry Guava) (Lord Howe Island Board, 2016; T. Auld pers. comm. 2022). These weed species remain common across Lord Howe Island, and threaten multiple endemic plants and communities, including C. inopinata (Lord Howe Island Board, 2016; NSW Government Saving our Species, 2021; T. Auld pers. comm. 2022). Despite an ongoing intensive weed eradication program, the overall number of juvenile weeds may be increasing (NSW Government Saving our Species, 2021a). This increase is possibly driven by drought and reduction in available moisture, with generalist weed species on Lord Howe Island likely more successful than endemics in changing environments, and by the removal of rats (discussed below) (Lord Howe Island Board, 2016; NSW Government Saving our Species, 2021b).
- 16. Introduced rodents, in particular the ship rat (*Rattus rattus*) and house mouse (*Mus musculus*), have had a devastating effect on native flora of Lord Howe Island and

have been the driving factor in the extinction of at least two Lord Howe Island plant species (Department of Environment and Climate Change (NSW), 2007). An extensive program of rodent eradication on the main island began in 2019 (Harper et al., 2020). This program appears to have been successful and rodents (rats and mice) have been eradicated from the island. However, the program has not yet been officially declared successful and ongoing monitoring is in place, both for rodents and to quantify the benefits and/or ecosystem changes resulting from the pest eradication. Historically rodents have not been considered a direct threat to *Coprosma inopinata* because of its inaccessible habitat (Lord Howe Island Board, 2017). However, there are indications that rodent control has inadvertently removed predation pressures from weed populations, as well as from native vegetation. In the absence of rodents, weed populations may increase and spread, posing a more significant threat to *C. inopinata* (NSW Government Saving our Species, 2021; C. Stehn pers. comm. 2022).

17. The Small-leaved Currant Bush, *Coprosma inopinata*, I. Hutton & P.S. Green (Rubiaceae) is eligible to be listed as a Critically Endangered species as, in the opinion of the NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee, it is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in Australia in the immediate future as determined in accordance with the following criteria as prescribed by the *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017*:

Assessment against Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017 criteria.

The Clauses used for assessment are listed below for reference.

Overall Assessment Outcome:

Coprosma inopinata was found to be Critically Endangered under Clause 4.3 (a)(d)(e, iii)

Clause 4.2 – Reduction in population size of species (Equivalent to IUCN criterion A) Assessment Outcome: Data Deficient

` '	1) - The species has undergone or is likely to undergo within a time frame								
appropri	te to the life cycle and habitat characteristics of the taxon:								
(a)	for critically endangered	a very large reduction in population							
	species	size, or							
(b)	for endangered species	a large reduction in population size, or							
(c)	for vulnerable species	a moderate reduction in population							
		size.							
(2) - The	determination of that criteria is	s to be based on any of the following:							
(a)	direct observation,								
(b)	an index of abundance appropriate to the taxon,								
(c)	a decline in the geographic distribution or habitat quality,								
(d)	the actual or potential levels of exploitation of the species,								
(e)	the effects of introduced tax	the effects of introduced taxa, hybridisation, pathogens, pollutants,							
	competitors or parasites.								

Clause 4.3 - Restricted geographic distribution of species and other conditions (Equivalent to IUCN criterion B)

Assessment Outcome: Critically Endangered under Clause 4.3 (a) (d) (e iii)

The g	The geographic distribution of the species is:								
	(a)	for	critically	endangered	very highly	restricted, or			
		spec	cies						
	(b)	for e	endangered s	species	highly restricted, or				
	(c)	for v	ulnerable sp	ecies	moderately restricted,				
and a	t lea	st 2 c	of the follow	ing 3 conditi	ons apply:				
	(d)	the p	the population or habitat of the species is severely fragmented or nearly						
		all th	all the mature individuals of the species occur within a small number of						
		loca	locations,						
	(e)	there	nere is a projected or continuing decline in any of the following:						
		(i)	an index of	the taxon,					
		(ii)	the geograp	ohic distribution	n of the spec	ies,			
		(iii)	habitat area	a, extent or qua	ality,				
		(iv)	the numbe	er of locations	s in which	the species	occurs	or	of
			populations of the species,						
	(f)	extre	xtreme fluctuations occur in any of the following:						
		(i)	an index of abundance appropriate to the taxon,						
		(ii)	the geographic distribution of the species,						
		(iii)	the number	er of location	s in which	the species	occur	or	of
			populations	of the species	S				

Clause 4.4 - Low numbers of mature individuals of species and other conditions (Equivalent to IUCN criterion C)

Assessment Outcome: Not Met

The e	estim	ated t	otal n	umbe	r of mature in	dividuals	s of the species is:
	(a)	for	critic	ally	endangered	very low	, or
		species					
	(b)	for e	ndang	ered s	species	low, or	
	(c)	for v	ulneral	ble sp	ecies	moderat	ely low,
and e	either	of th	e follo	wing	2 conditions	apply:	
	(d)	a co	ntinuii	ng de	cline in the	number	of mature individuals that is
		(acc	(according to an index of abundance appropriate to the species):				ppropriate to the species):
		(i)	for cri	for critically endangered species very large, or			very large, or
		(ii)	for endangered species				large, or
		(iii)	for vulnerable species m			moderate,	
	(e)	both	of the following apply:				
		(i)	a continuing decline in the number of mature individuals (according to an index of abundance appropriate to the species), and				
		(ii)	at least one of the following applies:			es:	
			(A)	_	number of indiv	/iduals in	each population of the species
				is:			

		(I)	for critically endangered	extremely low, or
			species	
		(II)	for endangered species very low, or	
		(III)	for vulnerable species	low,
	(B)	all or nearly all mature individuals of the species occur with		of the species occur within
		one p	one population,	
	(C)	extreme fluctuations occur in an index of abundan		an index of abundance
		appro	appropriate to the species.	

Clause 4.5 - Low total numbers of mature individuals of species (Equivalent to IUCN criterion D)

Assessment Outcome: Endangered under Clause 4.5 (b)

The	The total number of mature individuals of the species is:							
	(a)	for critically endangered	extremely low, or					
		species						
	(b)	for endangered species	very low, or					
	(c)	for vulnerable species	low.					

Clause 4.6 - Quantitative analysis of extinction probability (Equivalent to IUCN criterion E)

Assessment Outcome: Data Deficient

The p	The probability of extinction of the species is estimated to be:							
	(a)	for critically en	dangered	extremely high, or				
		species						
	(b)	for endangered spec	cies	very high, or				
	(c)	for vulnerable specie	es	high.				

Clause 4.7 - Very highly restricted geographic distribution of species—vulnerable species

(Equivalent to IUCN criterion D2)

Assessment Outcome: Met for Vulnerable under Clause 4.7

For	vulnerable	the geographic distribution of the species or the number of
species,		locations of the species is very highly restricted such that the
		species is prone to the effects of human activities or
		stochastic events within a very short time period.

Senior Professor Kristine French Chairperson NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

Supporting Documentation:

Rowell, T. (2022) Conservation Assessment of the Small-leaved Currant Bush, *Coprosma inopinata*, I.Hutton & P.S. Green 1993 (Rubiaceae). NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee.

References

- Abram N J, Henley B J, Gupta A, Lippmann T J R, Clarke H, Dowdy A J, Sharples J J, Nolan R H, Zhang T, Wooster M J, Wurtzel J B, Meissner K J, Pitman A J, Ukkola A M, Murphy B P, Tapper N J, Boer M M (2021) Connections of climate change and variability to large and extreme forest fires in southeast Australia. *Communications Earth and Environment*, 2, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-020-00065-8
- Auld T D, Hutton I (2004) Conservation issues for the vascular flora of Lord Howe Island. *Cunninghamia*, **8**(4): 490–500.
- Auld T D, Leishman M R (2015. Ecosystem risk assessment for Gnarled Mossy Cloud Forest, Lord Howe Island, Australia. *Austral Ecology*, **40**(4): 364–372.
- Department of Environment and Climate Change (NSW) (2007) Lord Howe Island Biodiversity Management Plan.
- Foster P (2001) The potential negative impacts of global climate change on tropical montane cloud forests. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **55:** 73–106. www.elsevier.com/locaterearscirev
- Government of South Australia Phytophthora Technical Group. (2006). *Phytophthora Management Guidelines 2nd Edition*.
- Green P S (1993) Notes Relating to the Floras of Norfolk & Lord Howe Islands IV. **48**(2): 307–325.
- Green P S. (1994) Rubiaceae. Flora of Australia 49, 350-359.
- Harper G A, Pahor S, Birch D (2020) The Lord Howe Island Rodent Eradication: Lessons Learnt from an Inhabited Island. *Proceedings, 29th Vertebrate Pest Conference*, 1–11.
- Hutton I (2001) Rare Plant Surveys Lord Howe Island (Issue June).
- Hutton I (2005) Rare Plant Surveys 2 Lord Howe Island.
- International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. (2020) Lord Howe Island Group 2020 Conservation Outlook Assessment 2020 Conservation Outlook.

- Lord Howe Island Board (2016) Lord Howe Island Weed Management Strategy 2016–2025 (Vol. 8, Issue November).
- Lord Howe Island Board (2017) Lord Howe Island Rodent Eradication Project NSW Species Impact Statement.
- Merrett M F, Robertson A W (2012) The efficacy of wind pollination in a small understory shrub (*Coprosma spathulata*) in native forest of the Waikato region, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Botany*, **50**(1): 37–49.
- NSW Department of Environment and Climate Change. (2008. Statement of intent for infection of native plants by Phytophthora cinnamomi.
- NSW Government Office of Environment and Heritage. (2016) SoS Lord Howe Island Flora Monitoring Plan for Saving our Species Conservation Projects: Hutton's Geniostoma, Mountain Xylosma, Mountain Coprosma.
- NSW Government Office of Environment and Heritage. (2017) SoS Lord Howe Island Flora Monitoring Plan for Saving our Species Conservation Project: Lord Howe Island Broom (Carmichaelia exsul).
- NSW Government Saving our Species. (2019) Small-leaved Currant Bush 2018-2019 annual SoS report card (Vol. 3, Issue of 3).
- NSW Government Saving our Species (2021a) Lord Howe Island Broom 2020-2021 annual report card.
- NSW Government Saving our Species (2021b) *Small-leaved Currant Bush 2020-2021 annual SoS report card.*
- NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (2022) BioNet Atlas. Data accessed 23/03/2022.
- Wardle P (1971) Effectiveness of distant pollen sources in *Coprosma. New Zealand Journal of Botany*, **9**(1): 223.